



Land Development Committee Agenda

May 14, 2024 at 7:00 PM

Land Development Committee

Jay Shatz, Chair
Bob Rosen
Dara Wood

Staff Liaison

Scot Lahrmer, Village Manager
Chris Fritsch, Zoning and Project
Administrator

MINUTES

1. Approval of minutes: February 27, 2024

TOPICS OF DISCUSSION

1. Discuss updating the Amberley Green Planning and Visioning

ADJOURNMENT

LAND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE MEETING

February 15, 2024

In attendance:

Jay Shatz

Dara Wood

Bob Rosen

Scot Lahrmer

Chris Fritsch

Committee approved minutes from last meeting with no revisions.

Councilman Shatz proposed for discussion a resolution to explore the village issuing an RFP for limited residential development of the northeast corner of Amberley Green fronting Galbreath Road. Shatz stressed the timing of such an RFP is to capitalize on the current real estate market and look for opportunities with maximum income and minimal investment by the village. Shatz used the various MKSK AG Planning and Conservation Studies as guides for the proposal.

What followed was approximately an hour of discussion among committee members and the public attending. A wide variety of opinions were expressed including, but not limited to, the possible scope of the project, impact on sightlines and demand for AG residential development as dictated by current zoning.

There was also discussion of impact on traffic, importance of public input and how limited residential development would effect overall development of Amberley Green and potential development options proposed by the JCC.

The discussion concluded with Councilman Shatz stating the need for more research on the matter and his intent to bring this issue back to the committee for further discussion.

The meeting was adjourned at 5:15pm.

FRAMEWORK | DEVELOPMENT SITES



- Incorporating the collective assets and frameworks we are left with five candidate development sites.
- Primary development sites in orange and secondary development sites in gold
- Totaling approximately 69 acres
- Paths that are covered by these development sites should be realigned as part of the development plans.
- Development in pods D and E may be better served by access from Knollcrest. This should be studied further.

